sociological science

Supplement to:

Mather, Darin M. and Eric Tranby. 2014. "New Dimensions of Tolerance: A Case for a Broader, Categorical Approach." Sociological Science 1: 512-531.

Table S1. Using Different Tolerance Measures to Estimate Various Outcomes

	Panel A: Additive and Interactive Tolerance					
	Oppose Extreme Political Ideologies (OLS Regression)		All Are Entitled To Legal Protections (OLS Regression)		Voted for Obama in 08 (Logistic Regression)	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2
Variable	Coef	Coef	Coef	Coef	OR	OR
Political Tolerance Scale	−0.34†	−0.06	0.06†	0.05*	1.19*	1.10
	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.07)	(0.10)
Private Tolerance Scale	0.03	0.00	−0.02	−0.03	0.93	1.04
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.06)	(0.09)
Female		−0.13		0.00		1.46
		(0.08)		(0.05)		(0.24)
Age		0.01*		0.00		1.00
		(0.00)		(0.00)		(0.01)
Married		−0.09		−0.04		0.94
		(0.09)		(0.05)		(0.27)
Child under 18 in HH		−0.05		−0.12*		1.59
		(0.10)		(0.06)		(0.30)
Black		0.04		0.06		4.36*
		(0.18)		(0.11)		(0.71)
Hispanic		0.47†		−0.07		3.31*
		(0.17)		(0.10)		(0.60)
Education		−0.14†		0.02		1.18
		(0.03)		(0.02)		(0.09)
Income		−0.05		0.00		1.01
		(0.03)		(0.02)		(0.08)
Conservative Protestant		0.05		−0.03		0.30†
		(0.10)		(0.06)		(0.31)
Catholic		0.22		0.04		0.77
		(0.11)		(0.07)		(0.30)
No Religious Affiliation		−0.14		0.08		0.39
		(0.16)		(0.09)		(0.45)
Religious Saliency		0.18		0.04		0.65
		(0.11)		(0.07)		(0.32)
Republican		0.19		−0.08		0.02*
		(0.11)		(0.06)		(0.41)
Independent		0.17		−0.09		0.20*
		(0.10)		(0.06)		(0.26)
Member of Civic Org.		−0.20*		0.03		0.98
		(0.09)		(0.05)		(0.25)
County Population Density		0.00		0.00		1.00
		(0.00)		(0.00)		(0.00)
County Diversity Index		0.29		−0.18		0.59
		(0.22)		(0.13)		(0.67)
Constant	3.01†	3.03†	4.58†	4.84†	0.54†	1.69
	(0.10)	(0.30)	(0.06)	(0.18)	(0.21)	(0.90)
Adjusted R^2	0.08	0.17	0.01	0.01		
Pseudo R^2					0.01	0.37
% of Cases Correctly Classified					54.52	75.44

Note: Standard errors are in parentheses.
† $p < 0.01$.
* $p < 0.05$.

Table S2. Using Different Tolerance Measures to Estimate Various Outcomes, continued

	Panel B: Categorical Tolerance					
	Oppose Extreme Political Ideologies (OLS Regression)		All Are Entitled To Legal Protections (OLS Regression)		Voted for Obama in 08 (Logistic Regression)	
Variable	Model 1 Coef	Model 2 Coef	Model 1 Coef	Model 2 Coef	Model 1 OR	Model 2 OR
Politically Tolerant	−0.77†	−0.57†	0.15*	0.16*	1.12*	1.49*
	(0.10)	(0.11)	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.20)	(0.31)
Generally Tolerant	−0.86†	−0.68†	0.17†	0.15†	1.24*	1.29*
	(0.10)	(0.11)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.20)	(0.32)
Privately Tolerant	−0.09	−0.15	−0.05	−0.04	1.31*	1.42*
	(0.11)	(0.13)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.26)	(0.38)
Female		−0.13		0.00		1.44
		(0.08)		(0.05)		(0.24)
Age		0.01∗		0.00		1.00
		(0.00)		(0.00)		(0.01)
Married		−0.09		−0.03		0.91
		(0.09)		(0.06)		(0.28)
Child under 18 in HH		−0.05		−0.12		1.41
		(0.10)		(0.06)		(0.31)
Black		0.09		0.05		4.19*
		(0.18)		(0.11)		(0.72)
Hispanic		0.46†		−0.06		2.96*
		(0.17)		(0.10)		(0.61)
Education		−0.16†		0.02		1.22*
		(0.03)		(0.02)		(0.09)
Income		−0.05		0.00		0.98
		(0.03)		(0.02)		(0.08)
Conservative Protestant		0.05		−0.01		0.29†
		(0.10)		(0.06)		(0.32)
Catholic		0.22		0.05		0.76
		(0.11)		(0.07)		(0.30)
No Religious Affiliation		−0.15		0.08		0.43*
		(0.16)		(0.09)		(0.45)
Religious Saliency		0.17		0.05		0.63
		(0.11)		(0.07)		(0.32)
Republican		0.22*		−0.10		0.02†
		(0.11)		(0.07)		(0.42)
Independent		0.17		−0.10		0.19†
		(0.10)		(0.06)		(0.26)
Member of Civic Org.		−0.18*		0.02		1.04
		(0.09)		(0.05)		(0.26)
County Population Density		0.00		0.00		1.00
		(0.00)		(0.00)		(0.00)
County Diversity Index		0.24		−0.17		0.63
		(0.23)		(0.14)		(0.68)
Constant	2.58∗∗	2.72∗∗	4.62	4.83	0.70	2.33
	(0.06)	(0.29)	(0.03)	(0.17)	(0.13)	(0.88)
Adjusted R^2	0.12	0.22	0.03	0.03		
Pseudo R^2					0.07	0.42
% of Cases Correctly Classified					59.90	82.36

Note: Standard errors are in parentheses.
† $p < 0.01$.
* $p < 0.05$.